

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-10 are all the claims pending in the application.

**Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

The Examiner rejects claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogawa et al. (US 6,247,817).

Claim 1 recites an optical engine of a projection television comprising, in part, a mirror device configured to adjust a reflection angle of the illumination. Ogawa discloses a projection type display apparatus that illuminates liquid crystal light valves of each color through an integrator optical system. The illumination angle of Ogawa is controlled by adjusting a screw 721 connected to a lens plate 921 (Figure 6B, col. 10, lines 44-48).

However, Ogawa does not disclose a mirror device being configured to adjust a reflection angle of the illumination as in claim 1. Claim 1 is therefore patentable over the art of record.

**Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

The Examiner rejects claims 4, 5, 8 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawa et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,247,817) in view of Bassi et al (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0231261).

Claim 1 is patentable over the art of record as previously described. Claim 4 is patentable for at least the same reasons because of its dependency on claim 1.

Claim 5 contains analogous limitations as claim 1 and is therefore patentable for analogous reasons. Claim 8 is patentable for at least the same reasons because of its dependency on claim 5.

Claim 9 contains analogous limitations as claim 1 and is therefore patentable for analogous reasons.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

The Examiner objects to claims 2, 3, 6, 7 and 10 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but states that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 2 has been edited. These claims should be allowed.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.


Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER



Peter A. McKenna  
Registration No. 38,551

Date: December 28, 2006